MINUTES

The State Board of Elections Board Meeting was held on Wednesday, August 6, 2014. The meeting was held in the General Assembly Building – Room C, Richmond, Virginia. In attendance, representing the State Board of Elections (SBE) was Charles Judd, Chair; Don Palmer, Secretary; Kristina Perry Stoney, Senior Assistant Attorney General and SBE Counsel and Anna Birkenheier, Assistant Attorney General. In attendance, representing the Department of Elections (ELECT) was Edgardo Cortés, Commissioner; Susan Lee, Elections Uniformity Manager; Chris Piper, Elections Services Manager; Myron McClees, ELECT Policy Analyst and Rose Mansfield, Executive Assistant. Chairman Judd called the meeting to order at 1:00PM.

The first order of business was the approval of the Minutes from the State Board of Elections Board Meeting held on June 24, 2014. Chairman Judd asked if Board Members had any additions or corrections to the June 24, 2014 Board Minutes and there were none. Secretary Palmer moved to adopt the Minutes for the June 24, 2014, Board Meeting. Chairman Judd seconded the motion and the Board unanimously approved the Minutes. Chairman Judd asked if Board Members had any additions or corrections to the July 15, 2014 Board Minutes and there were none. Chairman Judd moved to adopt the Minutes for the July 15, 2014, Board Meeting. Secretary Palmer seconded the motion and the Board unanimously approved the Minutes. Chairman Judd asked if Board Members had any additions or corrections to the July 22, 2014 Board Minutes and there were none. Secretary Palmer moved to adopt the Minutes for the July 22, 2014, Board Meeting. Chairman Judd seconded the motion and the Board unanimously approved the Minutes.

The second order of business was the Commissioner's Report delivered by Commissioner Cortés. Commissioner Cortés reported that a mailing was delivered to voters in the commonwealth erroneously. The Department of Elections has been in the process of sending mailings to voters to confirm information when crosscheck with information received from other states. The mailing process "What's your status?" was meant to be delivered to individuals who ELECT believed moved out-of-state based on information received from other states participating in the Crosscheck program and in the Electronic Registration Information Center (ERIC) program. There were 113,000 voters

who were sent, in addition to the confirmation mailing, out-of-state mailers. The problem was identified on July 29, 2014, and the ELECT team initiated a plan of action. On August 5, 2014, all 113,000 voters were sent letters containing an apology from ELECT for the error. Commissioner Cortés stated that those voters were not cancelled or removed from the rolls of the commonwealth.

Commissioner Cortés stated that the annual training concluded successfully with over 500 election officials from across the commonwealth attending. Commissioner Cortés reported that the voter photo identification system has the capability to be utilized in a mobile environment in a secure on-line manner. General Registrar's throughout the commonwealth have conducted community events or have planned community events with the voter photo identification equipment. ELECT is in the final stages of planning the off-line software that supports the voter photo identification program. ELECT has recently signed a contract with an agency that will assist in community outreach and will provide materials for the program. ELECT has hired a community outreach coordinator. Commissioner Cortés reported that 320 identifications have been issued since July 1, 2014.

The next order of business was the Legal Report presented by Kristina Perry Stoney, Senior Assistant Attorney General. Ms. Stoney reported that ELECT was sent a memorandum in response to the proposed amendment to define the meaning of "valid". Ms. Stoney stated that the letter is not an assessment of the legality of the voter identification law rather an assessment of the proposed amendment. Chairman Judd stated: "I see this assessment as a position paper not an opinion." Ms. Stoney stated: "I do not; this paper was provided under the normal course of review of proposed regulations to ensure they are in compliance with applicable laws. At the June 24, 2014, Board Meeting there was a request to review regulations." Chairman Judd stated: "The Board (SBE) requested the review but you responded to the Commissioner of ELECT. Can the Board expect that the answers be provided to the Board?" Ms. Stoney stated: "Previously, memorandums have been addressed to the agency head." Chairman Judd stated: "In the future, SBE members should receive their requested reports and the commissioner should receive their requested reports."

The next order of business was an update on the workgroup that will be reviewing the duties and responsibilities of electoral boards and general registrars presented by Commissioner Cortés. Commissioner Cortés stated that the working group would be an official group comprised of electoral board members and general registrars reporting the results of their study to SBE. Commissioner Cortés stated that the Virginia Electoral Boards Association (VEBA) and Voter Registrars Association of Virginia (VRAV) have reviewed the membership nominations and the basic outline of the workgroup parameters as submitted. There will be two ELECT staff members available to assist with technical and administrative tasking. SBE will request agencies of the commonwealth to provide assistance to the workgroup for this study, as needed. The workgroup will meet at least three times and submit a report to SBE on or before December 31, 2014. Secretary Palmer moved that SBE adopt the recommended plan and accept members of the workgroup as presented involving the review of duties and responsibilities of electoral boards and general registrars. Chairman Judd seconded the motion and asked if there were comments and there were none. The Board unanimously approved the motion.

The next order of business was the Voter Photo ID Regulation-Valid Definition presented by Myron McClees, ELECT Policy Analyst. Mr. McClees stated that at the June 10, 2014 Board Meeting two regulations were presented for consideration. Consideration of 1VAC 20-40-10 regarding the definition of "valid" was adopted during that meeting. The Board voted to amend the definition and place the language on Townhall for public comment which began on July 7, 2014 and ended on August 4, 2014. There were 588 comments submitted through Townhall and ELECT received additional comments via email and other sources which were made available for Board Members to review.

Secretary Palmer stated that he had reviewed the comments and drafted proposed language to define the meaning of "valid". Secretary Palmer presented several versions of suggested language regarding the meaning of "valid". Secretary Palmer stated: "I feel that 12 months is the proper grace period for the expiration of identification. The case of *Shreve* v. *Virginia* supports this suggested grace period of 12 months as well as the actions of other states, federal and state agencies. I do not believe the law is unconstitutional; however, this is a clearer definition for the reasons I have suggested.

Additionally, I suggest adding a sentence that says: "The officer of elections shall determine whether the document is officially acceptable based on its face.""

Chairman Judd stated: "The reason that photo identification is required in the polling place is so that the officer of election can determine if that is the person telling us who they say they are. We want this to be uniform across the commonwealth. In our culture, identification is required for everything from purchasing some over the counter medications to boarding a plane and ... to showing up for a medical appointment. Most individuals have photo identification. You have to have photo identification to get benefits from the government and ... I contend and will continue to contend that most of the citizens of the commonwealth have photo identification. SBE has the desire that everyone who is eligible to vote, vote! We need to protect the integrity of the voter list.".

Chairman Judd stated: "I would remove the word "valid" from the list of definitions in the regulations." Mr. McClees stated: "I would suggest, that guidance was requested from the attorneys general office and what authority the Board possesses to complete this action would need further research." Chairman Judd directed the clerk, Rose Mansfield, to introduce and read the statement letter from Vice Chair Bowers regarding the issue and definition of "valid".

Vice Chair Bowers Statement: Delivered on August 6, 2014

[I would like to formally submit this written statement into the official record for today's meeting of the Virginia State Board of Elections. Due to required travel on behalf of my employer, I am unfortunately unable to be physically present during the SBE's discussion regarding the town hall comment period that closed on August 4th. While my strong opinion and statements are on the record from prior meetings around the topic of Photo ID (and I previously provided comments and made the motion to have an expired DMV issued license be considered a valid form of voter identification), I would like to ensure that my sentiments are also read during the first meeting following the conclusion of the public comment period. I am also sure that much of what I am about to state will probably be expressed by others during the open comment period of this meeting (as many of the comments on Town Hall that I have read reflect my sentiment).

We, the members of the State Board of Elections, should do everything we can to ensure that voting as a process is not convoluted, confusing, intimidating, or restrictive and ensure equal access to all those qualified to exercise voting rights. With so many election based changes in a short period of time, I also take very seriously the role that the members of this Board have in giving out guidance to the Electoral community on the practices and policies that ensure the entire voting and election process is executed and implemented uniformly across the Commonwealth. This responsibility is also inclusive

of the new voter identification laws and the subsequent guidelines around its implementation.

There is absolutely no reason why we should not accept an expired driver's license if presented at the polls as a form of identification. The Department of Motor Vehicles issues a driver's license for the purpose of driving. However, its uses are many and one of the main reasons it is used is for purposes of identification. In fact, this form of identification is widely accepted for its validity and its authenticity in identifying an individual. It was also discussed during a prior meeting that other forms of identification do not have expiration dates on them, and in fact the decision was made to not to use expiration dates on the free voter cards issued by the registrars offices around the Commonwealth as a result of SB 1256 and subsequently the Governor's Executive Order. We should not complicate the matter around whether or not this document should be viewed as acceptable or get into an argument around the SBE's ability to define valid. This board has sought to provide very succinct and uniform guidance to those in the field and to the public (with a great deal of buy in through forums such as Town Hall) throughout the changes that have occurred over the last 3 years. The guidance on this matter ensures that no citizen is turned away based on an expiration date.

We have heard from the electoral community and from the citizens of Virginia the sentiment that an expiration date should not be an additional restriction. The point of voter identification remains the same- to identify the voter and verify the person who walks into their respective polling location. An officially issued (Department of Motor Vehicles) expired ID or an unexpired (Department of Motor Vehicles) ID will accomplish exactly the same thing. Therefore, I am adamantly opposed to having an expiration date be the reason that one's photo form of identification is not accepted for the purposes of casting a ballot on Election Day.]

Thank you,

Kimberly Bowers
Vice Chairman

Virginia State Board of Elections

Secretary Palmer moved that "Valid" for purposes related to voter identification shall mean: (i) the document appears to be genuinely issued by the agency or issuing entity appearing upon the document, (ii) the beater of the document reasonably appears to be the person whose photograph is contained thereon, and (iii) the document shall be current or have expired within the preceding twelve (12) months. The officer of election shall determine whether the document is officially acceptable based on its face. Chairman Judd seconded the motion and asked if there were any public comments.

Public comments were provided by Delegate Vivian Watts, D-39th District; Therese Martin, Virginia League of Women Voters; Tram Nguyen, Director Virginia New Majority, Donna Miller Rostant, Chair Fairfax County Democrats; Maggi Luca, Past Electoral Board Secretary Fairfax County; Courtney Mills, Fair Elections Legal Network and Hope Amezquita, ACLU of Virginia provided comment.

Chairman Judd asked Secretary Palmer to repeat the motion. Secretary Palmer stated: "Valid" for purposes related to voter identification shall mean: (i) the document appears to be genuinely issued by the agency or issuing entity appearing upon the document, (ii) the beater of the document reasonably appears to be the person whose photograph is contained thereon, and (iii) the document shall be current or have expired within the preceding twelve (12) months. The officer of election shall determine whether the document is officially acceptable based on its face. Chairman Judd asked for a final vote. The motion carried 2-0 in favor of the presented motion.

The next order of business was the ballot Standards presented by Cris Piper, Elections Services Manager. Mr. Piper stated the changes presented in the revised version of the "Ballot Standards and Verification Procedures" document are necessary to properly reflect the law changes that went into effect on July 1, 2014. Board Members were provided the updated procedures. In particular noting the changes; "Vote for not more than one" to "Vote for only one" and change "State Board of Elections/SBE" to Department of Elections/ELECT". Secretary Palmer moved that the Board approve staff's suggested changes to the "Ballot Standards and Verification Procedures" document. Chairman Judd seconded the motion and asked if there were public comments and there were none. The Board unanimously passed the motion.

Chairman Judd opened the floor to other business and public comment. Maggi Luca, Past Electoral Board Secretary Fairfax County approached the podium. Ms. Luca asked if the motion passed on the voter identification needed to be placed on Townhall for public comment. Secretary Palmer stated: "The only change that occurred was moving the time frame from 30 days to a year and this is not significant enough to place back on Townhall for comment. I based the changes on the comments provided."

Hope Amezquita, ACLU of Virginia approached the podium. Ms. Amezquita stated that a letter was submitted to the Department of Elections that contained four specific requests for response on the voter identification law. Ms. Amezquita asked for a response from the Board.

Donna Miller Rostant, Fairfax County Democrats approached the podium. Ms. Rostant asked which particular comments posted to Townhall aided in the decision made at this Board Meeting by Board Members regarding the voter identification interpretation of "valid". Chairman Judd stated that if an item is posted on Townhall it is because the Board Members motion and approve the item for public comment. The decision made by Board Members was based on those previously submitted comments.

Robin Lind, Goochland County Electoral Board Secretary approached the podium. Mr. Lind asked for guidance on the November, 2014 election regarding multiple ballots. Commissioner Cortés stated that ELECT is working on this issue and as a result the single ballot will contain two separate races. Chairman Judd asked if there were additional public comments and there were none.

Chairman Judd asked if there were comments for the Good of the Order. Chairman Judd stated that legal counsel has notified SBE that the executive session listed on the agenda would not be required. Chairman Judd stated: "The spirit of what SBE is trying to do with photo identification is: "We have the authority or we do not have the authority to define valid." The action SBE took at this Board Meeting clears it for now, and our purpose is to protect the integrity of the process and as part of this SBE wants everybody to have access to their ballot so that they may cast their vote. I intend to clarify in the future what is SBE authority when comes to defining regulations. For us, our purpose is to determine is that person out there the person they present as and the expiration date really doesn't matter but, when it comes to defining "valid" it does. We were asked to define "valid" and SBE did just that."

Chairman Judd moved *that the Board adjourn*. Secretary Palmer seconded the motion and without further comment the Board voted to adjourn. The meeting was adjourned at approximately 2:55PM.

The Board shall reconvene on August 27, 2014 at 10:00AM in the General Assembly Building – Room C, Richmond, Virginia.

Secretary Secretary

231		
232	Chair	
233		
234		
235		
236	Vice Chair	
237		
238		